Queensland, Australia SEC example report experience@griffith

## Student Experience of Course (SEC) - Detail Report

## Whanifith

School: School of Pharmacy and Pharmacology
Group: Griffith Health
Convenor(s): Name Surname
Class(es): EXM/E101, LEC/L101, SEM/S101, WSP/W101

Class(es): class(es) attached to SET
Course size: number of students enrolled

Course resp: percentage and number of students who responded to the course survey
SET resp.: percentage and number of students who responded to the SETs

OR it will show: No SET -

| Quantitative Summary: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Question | \# |  | Score | Quartile Band Comparison | \%+ve \%-ve | Med ian | Std <br> dev | Mean | Comparative mean |  |  | Quartile Band Rank |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% |  |
| Q1 | This course was well-organised. | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { SA } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { N } \\ \text { D } \\ \text { S } \end{array}$ |  |   <br>  $37.9 \%$ <br>  $44 \%$ <br> $5.3 \%$  <br> $2.2 \%$  | $\begin{aligned} & +80 \\ & -20 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 1.30 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 3 |
| Q2 | The assessment was clear and fair. | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { SA } \\ \text { A } \\ \mathrm{N} \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \mathrm{SD} \end{array}$ |   <br>  $60 \%$ <br>  $40 \%$ <br> $0 \%$  <br> $0 \%$  <br> $0 \%$  |  $35.4 \%$ <br>  $42.7 \%$ <br>  $13.6 \%$ <br> $5.7 \%$  <br> $2.7 \%$  | $\begin{gathered} +100 \\ -0 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 0.55 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4 |
| Q3 | I received helpful feedback on my assessment work. | 3 1 1 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { SA } \\ A \\ N \\ N \\ D \\ \text { SD } \end{array}$ |  |  $38.3 \%$ <br>  $37.5 \%$ <br>  $16.1 \%$ <br> $5.4 \%$  <br> $2.7 \%$  | $\begin{gathered} +80 \\ -0 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 0.89 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 3 |
| Q4 | This course engaged me in learning. | 3 1 1 0 0 | $\begin{array}{\|r} S A \\ A \\ N \\ \mathrm{~N} \\ \mathrm{D} \end{array}$ |  |   <br>  $44.4 \%$ <br>  $39.9 \%$ <br>  $10.3 \%$ <br> $3.4 \%$ <br> $2 \%$  | $\begin{gathered} +80 \\ -0 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 0.89 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 3 |
| Q5 | The teaching (lecturers, tutors, online etc) on this course was effective in helping me to learn. | 3 1 1 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { SA } \\ \text { A } \\ \mathrm{N} \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \mathrm{SD} \end{array}$ |  $60 \%$ <br> $20 \%$  <br>  $20 \%$ <br> $0 \%$  <br> $0 \%$  |   <br>  $44.3 \%$ <br>  $37.7 \%$ <br>  $11.4 \%$ <br> $4.1 \%$  <br> $2.4 \%$  | $\begin{gathered} +80 \\ -0 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 0.89 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 3 |
| Q6 | Overall I am satisfied with the quality of this course. | 3 1 1 0 0 | SA A N D SD |  $60 \%$ <br>  $20 \%$ <br>  $20 \%$ <br> $0 \%$  <br> $0 \%$  |  $38.1 \%$ <br>  $43.6 \%$ <br> $50.9 \%$  <br> $2.5 \%$  | $\begin{gathered} +80 \\ -0 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 0.89 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 3 |

## Qualitative Responses:

| Q7 | What did you find particularly good about this course? | Resp\#: 4 / Class |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | the tutor was very friendly and i enjoyed the way she presented content | EXM/E101, LEC/L101, <br> WSP/W101, SEM/S101 |
| 2 | The course was engaging and it was enjoyable having a variety of assessment types rather than just written assessment. <br> Having a smaller class size made it easier to engage with the other students and the lecturer. The networking event was <br> enjoyable and informative and a great chance to meet people from diverse pharmacy careers and learn more about <br> possible career paths and how to take the first steps. | EXM/E101, LEC/L101, <br> WSP/W101, SEM/S101 |
| 3 | The tips and tricks we were taught about r??sum??s, cover letters and selection criteria were helpful. | EXM/E101, LEC/L101, <br> WSP/W101, SEM/S101 |
| 4 | This course was well organized and the contents engaged me learning many different things through different types of <br> activities and assessments. | EXM/E101, LEC/L101, <br> WSP/W101, SEM/S101 |


| Q8 | How could this course be improved? | Resp\#: 2 / Class |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | The lecture capture was very quite and the audio quality was very poor. The order of upload seemed to be in an unusual <br> and not chronological order | EXM/E101, LEC/L101, <br> WSP/W101, SEM/S101 |
| 2 | Starting it earlier- having content into Orientation Week before Trimester 1 is overwhelming. Lectures pre recorded and <br> then only workshops might improve retention/attendance. Similar to genes and disease, anatomy and physiology systems, <br> chemistry of biological systems. The networking event was disappointing. It felt like a "career info session" with people that <br> didn't use Linkedln. This should be called Careers not an intro to pharma. There was barely any content and assessment <br> on pharmacy. I still have no idea what I can do with my degree. | EXM/E101, LEC/L101, <br> WSP/W101, SEM/S101 |

[^0]'Griffith Student Experience of Courses and Teaching' Survey System
\#: Number of Respondents how many students chose each scale

Likert-scale response options: answers are based on a 5 -point scale
Nely Agree [SA] Agree [A] Neutral [N] Disagree [D] Strongly Disagree [SD]

Score: \% of students who chose each response option on the 5 -point scale.

Quartile Band Comparison: (QB) an aggregation of results of courses from the same Group and the same class size.

Percentage positive [\%+ve] and negative [\%-ve]:
responses added together to provide a percentage against chosen 5 -point scale responses.

Median:
value at the middle of distribution
Std dev (Standard Deviation): spread of responses in relation to the mean of the question. The closer the value to one, the greater the spread.

Mean:
the average of scores
Comparative mean:
Shows the mean score at the 25 th, 50th and 75 th percentile for similar courses (same Group and class size)
Quartile Band Rank [QB]:
QB Rank shows the Quartile Band
into which your mean score falls.
QB Rank 1: < 25th percentile QB Rank 2:
$\geq 25$ th and < 50th percentile
QB Rank 3: $\geq 50$ th percentile
QB Rank 4: $\geq 75$ th percentile
Lowest QB Rank is 1 , highest is 4

Qualitative responses: each
response to the open questions.

Resp \# / class: Number of students to respond with a comment to the open question.


[^0]:    5pt Likert scale: SD - Strongly Disagree, D - Disagree, N - Neutral, A - Agree, SA - Strongly Agree
    QUARTILE BANDING SCORING: A score $1,2,3$, or 4 will be assigned depending on which quartile band your mean score falls within. Quartile scores are based on aggregate data calculated from a matrix of Group x Course/Class Size. The comparison is made between courses within the SAME group and of the SAME size (<21, 21-50, 51-200, 200+) A score will only be assigned if more than three courses exist (from any semester) within the same category
    $\neq$ This is a custom question.

