| Criteria  | TIER 1 CASE An overall judgement as to whether a case is Tier 1 or Tier 2 is made on the basis of an overall qualitative assessment based on the five criteria set out in this matrix.   |  |   |
|---|--|--|---|
| Type of misconduct<br>Nature of the breach.   | For example:<br>Referencing or attribution of work is not<br>clear or adequate, or has numerous errors<br>Inappropriate paraphrasing<br>Possession of unauthorised examination<br>materials in the exam venue.   | For example:<br>Failure to reference and/or cite adequately<br>Copying segments of other students' assignment work<br>False indication of contribution to group work<br>Copying fragments of material from websites, book or other<br>publications<br>Recycling parts of previous assignments<br>Resubmitting parts of previous assignments without the<br>permission of the University<br>Completing individual assessment tasks with peers | For example:<br>Fabricated references or citations<br>Significant amount of work copied (from students or other<br>sources)<br>Purchased assignment<br>Selling, procuring or hawking examination materials and<br>assessment items<br>Stealing others' work<br>Cheating in an examination<br>Use of file swapping sites |
| Extent of misconduct<br>Amount or proportion of<br>assessment item or work that is<br>not the student's own. Extent to<br>which the assessment process is<br>compromised. | For example:<br>A few paragraphs, or graphics<br>Few elements of computer source code<br>Selling, procuring or hawking a single exam<br>question with a model answer or a portion of<br>an assignment.   | For example:<br>A proportion or segment of the work<br>Multiple segments of computer source code<br>Selling, procuring or hawking a single exam paper, exam<br>script or assignment.   | For example:<br>Comprises minimal original work<br>Significant appropriation of ideas or artistic work<br>Multiple pages or sections of text or graphics copied<br>Selling, procuring or hawking a number of exam papers,<br>exam scripts or assignments  |
| <b>Experience of the student</b><br>Relates to your expectation that<br>the student should be aware of the<br>seriousness of their actions.                               | For example:<br>First year student, first trimester<br>undergraduate student who has not<br>previously attempted this type of<br>assessment<br>Early draft of dissertation/thesis  | For example:<br>Students after first trimester of program but before final year<br>After completion of known instruction in avoiding plagiarism<br>Mid-course dissertation/thesis drafts   | For example:<br>Final year, experienced student<br>Where student is expected to fully understand and exhibit<br>academic integrity<br>Final dissertation/thesis drafts/submitted dissertation/<br>thesis  |
| Intent of student<br>Intentionality of the act  | For example:<br>Plagiarism appears accidental, unintentional<br>or due to lack of knowledge<br>Solicitation occurs through cultural<br>considerations or by accident<br>Cultural considerations/mitigating<br>circumstances e.g. no prior instruction or<br>unclear instructions given intent to cheat is<br>unlikely or doubtful. | For example:<br>Plagiarism appears intentional<br>Intent to cheat is probable<br>Two or more students involved<br>Solicitation occurs among a group of students  | For example:<br>Plagiarism appears deliberate and planned<br>Actions contravene clear instructions<br>Intent to cheat is evident<br>Solicitation is addressed broadly to students in a range of<br>course/s or program/s with/ without commercial conditions<br>and terms.  |
| Impact of the Misconduct<br>Impact of the act on others   | For example:<br>The academic achievement of other students<br>completing the assessment task; and/or the<br>academic achievement of other students<br>enrolled in the course are impacted.   | <b>For example</b><br>The academic achievement of other students enrolled in the program and the reputation of the degree are impacted.  | For example<br>The reputation of the University is impacted.  |